This presentation premiered at WaterSmart Innovations

watersmartinnovations.com



TARGETING FUTURE CONSERVATION EFFORTS IN MARIN COUNTY, CA

Water Smart Innovations 2021 October 6, 2021 Drew Bost



OVERVIEW

- Water conservation planning in California
- Regional conservation planning
- Conservation program outreach targeting analysis
 - Spatial trends
 - Census demographics
 - Building stock characteristics
- Alternative targeting strategies

WATER CONSERVATION IN CALIFORNIA

- Water Conservation Act of 2009 ("SB X7-7")
 - Reduce water use 20% by 2020
- "Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life" (AB 1668/SB 606)
 - Ongoing annual "Water Use Objectives"
- Severe Droughts
 - 2012-2016 drought
 - Current drought (2021 ?)
- Formation of regional partnerships to meet conservation goals



SONOMA-MARIN SAVING WATER PARTNERSHIP

- Consists of thirteen cities and water districts in Marin and Sonoma Counties
- All receive water from Sonoma County Water Agency (Sonoma Water)
- Partnership to pool conservation resources and recommend water use efficiency projects
- Help implement ongoing conservation efforts



2020 WATER DEMAND ANALYSIS AND WATER CONSERVATION MEASURE UPDATE

- Developed water demand projections for 2020 UWMPs
- Evaluate and consider future water conservation programs
- High-level conservation program analysis for all 9 agencies
- Three districts elected detailed conservation program analysis



2020 Water Demand Analysis and Water Conservation Measure Update North Marin Water District

> December 2020 (EKI C00004.00)

Prepared by: EKI Environment & Water, Inc. 2001 Junipero Serra Boulevard, Suite 300 Daly City, California 94014 (650) 292-9100

NORTH MARIN WATER DISTRICT

- Serves population of 62,000
- Recently completed 2020 UWMP
- Conducted detailed analysis for key conservation programs
 - Quantified actual water saved based on customer billing data
 - Participation trends related to building stock and demographic characteristics
 - Spatial density trends



DATA-INTENSIVE ANALYSIS

- Publicly available or readily accessible agency data
- County parcel assessor data
 - Building stock characteristics (year built, lot size, lot type)
- US Census demographic data (American Community Survey)
 - Household income
 - Homeownership trends
 - Household member age
- Conservation program participation records
 - Participation dates and locations (address)

ServiceNo •	Annual_WU_gal -	StructureType	
12548	20290		
12562	307428	THCI	
12562	380732	THCI	
12562	214676	THCI	
12562	236368	THCI	
12562	189244	THCI	
12562	261052	THCI	
12562	228972	THCI	
12562	169056	THCI	
11104	293964	APT	
11104	240108	APT	
11104	248336	APT	
11104	262548	APT	
11104	247588	APT	
11104	262548	APT	
11104	356796	APT	
11104	353056	APT	
11108	198220	APT	
11108	231132	APT	
11108	239360	APT	
11108	263296	APT	
11108	287980	APT	
11108	303688	APT	
11108	270028	APT	
11108	250580	APT	
11116	378488	APT	
11116	338844	APT	
11116	501908	APT	
11116	465256	APT	

SPATIAL DENSITY TRENDS: OPTIMIZED HOTSPOT ANALYSIS

- ArcGIS Tool
- Calculates statistically significant "hot" and "cold" spots spatially using the Getis-Ord Gl* statistic
- Aggregates point features based on number of features and bounding polygons
- Determines high and low density of aggregate features
- Allowing spatial targeting of low participation neighborhoods/regions



Source: pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/latest/tool-reference/spatial-statistics/optimized-hot-spot-analysis.htm

CASH FOR GRASS REBATE PROGRAM

- Gives rebate for replacing lawns with low water use landscapes
- 921 Participants from 2000 – 2020
- Single family residential parcels



CASH FOR GRASS HOTSPOT ANALYSIS



Cold Spot - 99% Confidence
Cold Spot - 95% Confidence
Cold Spot - 90% Confidence
Not Significant
Hot Spot - 90% Confidence
Hot Spot - 95% Confidence
Hot Spot - 99% Confidence

CASH FOR GRASS HOTSPOT ANALYSIS



Cold Spot - 99% Confidence
Cold Spot - 95% Confidence
Cold Spot - 90% Confidence
Not Significant
Hot Spot - 90% Confidence
Hot Spot - 95% Confidence
Hot Spot - 99% Confidence

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ANALYSIS

- US Census demographic data
- Determine participation relative to key demographic characteristics
- Allows targeting of program outreach to underrepresented communities





ASSESSING TRENDS IN PARTICIPATION

- Relative difference analysis
 - Assesses the relative difference between total customers and program participation in each category
 - Is participation in each demographic grouping representative of overall district demographics?

Median Household Income (a)		Percentage of	Percentage of Participating Residential Customers (b)						
		Residential Customers in	Cash for Grass	Cash for Grass HECW Rebate HET Reb		HET Rebate Water Smart			
		NMWD (b)	Rebate Program	Program	Program	Survey Program	Program		
Low Income	<\$94,850	40%	28%	34%	39%	31%	33%		
Moderate Income	\$94,850 - \$124,500	28%	34%	31%	29%	32%	28%		
High Income	>\$124,500	32%	38%	36%	31%	37%	40%		



LOW INCOME PARTICIPATION

- Relative difference analysis
 - Participation in low income
 SFR households 12% less than
 overall proportion of SFR
 customers
 20%
 15%
 5%
 0%
 5%
 5%
 5%
 5%
 5%
 5%
 5%

Median Household Income (a)		Percentage of	Percentage of Percentage of Participating Residential Customers (b)						
		Residential Customers in	Cash for Grass	HECW Rebate	HET Rebate	Water Smart	WBIC Rebate		
		NMWD (b)	Rebate Program	Program	Program	Survey Program	Program		
Low Income	<\$94,850	40%	28%	34%	39%	31%	33%		
Moderate Income	\$94,850 - \$124,500	28%	34%	31%	29%	32%	28%		
High Income	>\$124,500	32%	38%	36%	31%	37%	40%		

Relative Difference in Program Participation by Income Group



MODERATE INCOME PARTICIPATION

- Relative difference analysis
 - Participation in moderate income SFR households
 5.7% more than overall proportion of SFR customers

Median Household Income (a)		Percentage of	Percentage of Participating Residential Customers (b)						
		Residential Customers in	Cash for Grass	HECW Rebate	HET Rebate	Water Smart	WBIC Rebate		
		NMWD (b)	Rebate Program	Program	Program	Survey Program	Program		
Low Income	<\$94,850	40%	28%	34%	39%	31%	33%		
Moderate Income	\$94,850 - \$124,500	28%	34%	31%	29%	32%	28%		
High Income	>\$124,500	32%	38%	36%	31%	37%	40%		

Relative Difference in Program Participation by Income Group



HIGH INCOME PARTICIPATION

- Relative difference analysis
 - Participation in high income SFR households 6% more than overall proportion of SFR customers
 - Greatest potential for targeting in the low income category

Median Household Income (a)		Percentage of	Percentage of Participating Residential Customers (b)					
		Residential						
		Customers in	Cash for Grass	HECW Rebate	HET Rebate	Water Smart	WBIC Rebate	
		NMWD (b)	Rebate Program	Program	Program	Survey Program	Program	
Low Income	<\$94,850	40%	28%	34%	39%	31%	33%	
Moderate Income	\$94,850 - \$124,500	28%	34%	31%	29%	32%	28%	
High Income	>\$124,500	32%	38%	36%	31%	37%	40%	



TRENDS IN RENTERSHIP PARTICIPATION

- Highest participation in high ownership category (<25% rentership) at 18% above overall proportion
- Lowest in high to moderate ownership category (25-50% rentership) at ~10% below overall proportion
- Greatest potential in the higher rentership category

Percentage of Renters (a)		Percentage of		ntial Customers (b)			
		Residential Customers in NMWD (b)	Cash for Grass Rebate Program	HECW Rebate Program	HET Rebate Program	Water Smart Survey Program	WBIC Rebate Program
						, ,	
Low Rentership	≤25%	63%	81%	70%	69%	75%	77%
Low to Moderate Rentership	25.1%-50%	23%	14%	21%	16%	17%	17%
Moderate to High Rentership	50.1%-75%	11%	4.6%	7.3%	12%	6.3%	5.8%
High Rentership	≥75%	3.0%	0.44%	1.8%	3.0%	1.4%	0%



TRENDS IN HOUSEHOLD AGE PARTICIPATION

- Highest participation in 45-55 year category at 2.9% above overall proportion
- Lowest in 35-45 year category at 2.6% below overall proportion
- High success in reaching customers in all categories

Madian	Percentage of		Percentage of F	Participating Residentia	al Customers (b)	
Median Household Age (a)	Residential Customers in NMWD (b)	Cash for Grass Rebate Program	HECW Rebate Program	HET Rebate Program	Water Smart Survey Program	WBIC Rebate Program
<35 Years	4.1%	1.6%	3.3%	4.3%	2.8%	4.7%
35-45 Years	28%	26%	29%	24%	23%	16%
45-55 Years	58%	61%	57%	59%	61%	57%
>55 Years	9.8%	12%	9.8%	13%	13%	22%



TRENDS IN HOUSEHOLD AGE PARTICIPATION

- Participation trends vary across programs
- Can't assume similar trends for every program







Relative Difference in Program Participation by Household Age Group (c)

BUILDING STOCK CHARACTERISTICS

- Highest participation in homes built pre-1994 at 2.4% above overall participation
- Lowest in homes built 2010 and later at 0.7% below overall participation
- High success in reaching customers in all categories, including newer homes

Water Efficiency Program (a)			Avg Lat Size	Avg Let Size	Year of Construction			
	Sector	Avg Year Built	Avg Lot Size (sq ft)	Avg Lot Size (ac)	pre-1994	1994-2009	2010 and Later	
Cash for Grass Rebate Program	SFR	1980	15,176	0.35	82%	18%	0.12%	
HECW Rebate Program	SFR	1981	16,852	0.39	80%	20%	0.32%	
	MFR	1988	2,269	0.05	75%	24%	0.60%	
UET Debete Dregreen	SFR	1977	18,103	0.42	92%	8.1%	0.06%	
HET Rebate Program	MFR	1984	18,298	0.42	92%	8.4%	0%	
WBIC Rebate Program	SFR	1986	18,372	0.42	70%	28%	1.2%	
	SFR	1983	23,298	0.53	75%	25%	0.17%	
Water Smart Survey Program	MFR	1984	2,192	0.05	86%	14%	0%	

Relative Difference in Program Participation by Year of Building Construction (b)



IDENTIFYING OPPORTUNITIES FOR CONSERVATION

Low/Neutral Participation Density





Cold Spot - 95% Confidence Cold Spot - 90% Confidence Not Significant Hot Spot - 90% Confidence Hot Spot - 95% Confidence Hot Spot - 99% Confidence



Marin County Median Household Income



> 25 % Rentership



Percentage of Renters



IDENTIFYING OPPORTUNITIES FOR CONSERVATION

- Targeted Areas:
 - I) Low income
 - 2) >25% rentership
 - 3) Low or neutral participation density
- I,399 potential customers to target with outreach
- Targeting strategies:
 - Use WaterSmart AMI spatial emailing system to target outreach to these individuals
- Can apply to email or direct mailing



ANALYSIS APPLICATIONS: SOLANO COUNTY WATER AGENCY

- Adapted their Water-Efficient
 Landscape Rebate Program to be more accessible to low income customers
- Offered low-water use plants, labor for planting, and lawn removal
- Greater incentive to participate for low income customers



come, first-served basis until June 2018 or until funds are depleted.

ALTERNATIVE OUTREACH TARGETING STRATEGIES

- Design your outreach to most efficiently meet program goals
 - Drought Response: targeting those most likely to participate to maximize savings
 - Program Expansion: targeting those that have historically low participation rates
 - Optimize Program Resources: Targeting those most likely to result in higher savings



KEY TAKEAWAYS

Data Intensive Analysis

- Spatial analysis
- Census demographics
- Building stock characteristics
- Publicly available/readily accessible

Allows for detailed targeting

- Determine areas of high and low participation
- Identifies
 opportunities
 for outreach
 targeting

Flexible targeting strategies

- Drought response
- Program expansion
- Maximize effectiveness
- Program adaptation



QUESTIONS



DREW BOST dbost@ekiconsult.com 650-292-9100

