## This presentation premiered at WaterSmart Innovations

watersmartinnovations.com



### Impacts of Real-Time Monitoring on Adaptive Capacity: Lessons from a Communally Managed Irrigation Network in Northern New Mexico, USA

Lily M. Conrad<sup>1</sup>, Alexander G. Fernald<sup>1</sup>, Marshall A. Taylor<sup>2</sup>, Steven J. Guldan<sup>3</sup>, and Carlos G. Ochoa<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Water Resources Research Institute and Water Science and Management Graduate Degree Program, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sociology, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM, USA

<sup>3</sup>Sustainable Agriculture Science Center, New Mexico State University, Alcalde, NM, USA

<sup>4</sup>College of Agricultural Sciences – Ecohydrology Lab, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA





#### Outline

- Introduction
- Methods
- Results & Discussion
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgements
- Contact Information
- References



#### **Broader context**

- Large reliance on winter snowpack to meet water demands throughout the summer in the Western US (Mote et al., 2018)
- Vulnerabilities of snow-dominated basins with warming climate (Barnett et al., 2005; Clow, 2010):
  - Volume of runoff



#### **Broader context**

- Rio Grande Basin climate change impacts (Harpold et al., 2012):
  - Increasing winter temperature
  - Decreasing winter precipitation, maximum SWE, snow covered days per decade
- Upper Rio Grande Basin projected climate change impacts (Chavarria & Gutzler, 2018; Llewellyn et al., 2013)
  - Decrease snowpack accumulation
  - Shift to earlier snowmelt runoff

**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

• Later portions of the runoff season left drier

Introduction | Met



# Managing drought and climate variability

- Many sectors stressed by variable and changing water resources
  - Irrigation systems
- Acequias
  - Communal irrigation systems
  - 200-400 years old (southwest USA)
  - Gravity-driven water delivery canals for irrigation purposes



Photo courtesy of NMAA

- Local governing organizations in charge of community-managed water allocations (mayordomo, commissioners, parciantes)
- Drought prompts collaborative and dynamic water management approaches (Fernald et al., 2015; Guldan et al., 2013)
- Resilient



### **Acequia vulnerabilities**

• 1,900  $\rightarrow$  640 active acequias

**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

- Challenges:
  - Warming climate (decreasing surface water availability)
  - Water rights transfers (out of acequia communities)
  - Lack of storage capacity (must use the water when it's available)

Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

- Development projects (more housing, less land)
- Community structure (children moving to urban areas for education/employment opportunities)

### **Acequia vulnerabilities**

- 1,927  $\rightarrow$  640 active acequias
- Challenges:
  - Warming climate
  - Water rights transfers
  - Lack of storage capacity
  - Development projects
  - Community structure

#### Gap in community knowledge:

Acequia leaders from the Rio Hondo watershed expressed the need for more available and accessible data.

**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future. Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

#### **Gaps in literature**

- 1. Importance of **stakeholder engagement**, **community science**, and actionable knowledge
  - Limitations of previous hydrologic research focused on stakeholder engagement (Buytaert et al., 2014; Paul et al., 2018):
    - Nonscientist roles limited to data gathering
    - Mostly water quality

**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

2. Interdisciplinary research spanning hydrology and social sciences lacks clear integration methods (Seidl & Barthel, 2017)

Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

3. Growing awareness of the need to proactively address and mitigate climate change impacts resulted in a demand for **adaptive capacity assessments** (Agrawal, 2010; Fernández-Giménez et al., 2015), but current assessment methods are not standardized (Engle, 2011)

#### Adaptive capacity:

The ability to experiment, learn, and test management strategies in response to change, disturbance, or challenges and is considered a precursor to resilience (Armitage, 2005; Eakin et al., 2011; Engle, 2011; Smit & Wandel, 2006).

#### **Resilience:**

A system's ability to withstand disturbance and reorganize efforts while enduring change and retaining its function, structure, and identity over time (Baival & Fernandez-Gimenez, 2012).



#### **Study overview**

- Goal: assess the role of improved data accessibility on adaptive capacity
- **Hypothesis:** increasing the accessibility and availability of water information for irrigation water management would increase adaptive capacity within a rural agricultural community in a semiarid valley
- **Process:** community science, telemetry monitoring, pretest-posttest survey design



### Methods

- Study area
- Telemetry monitoring system
- Adaptive capacity assessment



## Study area

- Rio Hondo watershed
- 185 km<sup>2</sup>
- Average elevation 2,200 m
- Drains into the Rio Grande
- Semiarid steppe climate
- Main inputs:
  - Snowmelt
  - Monsoons
- Communities
- Land use



**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future. Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

#### Telemetry monitoring system

- Stakeholder involvement
- Equipment overview
  - Flumes
  - Stilling well
  - Monitoring equipment
  - Telemetry (cell + radios + Wi-Fi)
- Data collection
  - 15 min
  - Stage, discharge, water temp, conductivity
  - Verification
- Data delivery
  - Web interface
  - Campbell Scientific Konect Global Data Services



**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future. Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

#### **Adaptive capacity assessment**

Objective: assess the role of improved data accessibility on adaptive capacity



#### \*changes considered significant at $\alpha$ = 0.05



Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

#### Adaptive capacity assessment (cont.)





#### **Results & Discussion**

- Acequia monitoring web interface
- Adaptive capacity assessment



La Cuchilla



- Water Level - Electrical Conductivity - Flow

Current flow:

7.6 cfs

Daily Averages					
imestamp (UTC-6 hours)	Lvl_ft_Avg	NMSU_flow_cfs_Avg	Cond_Avg		
8/20/2020 00:00	0.861	7.881	0.232		
8/19/2020 00:00	0.898	8.44	NaN		
8/18/2020 00:00	0.904	8.52	0.235		
8/17/2020 00:00	0.899	8.45	0.229		
8/16/2020 00:00	0.89	8.32	0.229		
8/15/2020 00:00	0.889	8.3	0.23		
8/14/2020 00:00	0.894	8.37	0.23		
8/13/2020 00:00	0.903	8.51	0.231		
8/12/2020 00:00	0.91	8.62	0.23		
8/11/2020 00:00	0.931	8.97	NaN		

# Acequia monitoring web interface

An example of the water data displayed for each acequia on the web interface. In this case, La Cuchilla on 20 August 2020.

**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future. Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions



#### Acequia monitoring web interface

A compilation of survey feedback regarding a) the web interface's general level of helpfulness (n = 17), b) most helpful attributes and added benefits of the web interface (n = 13), and c) interest from respondents to continue this or a similar web monitoring tool for future irrigation seasons (n = 23).

oduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

Indicator (score range)	Presurvey mean	Postsurvey mean	t	р	95% CI
Water management (0-48)	14.40	17.04	1.162	0.4650	(0.4552, 0.4748)
Information diversity (0-5)	3.40	4.60	4.157	0.0022*	(0.0014, 0.0033)
Knowledge exchange (0-10)	5.92	5.52	-0.499	0.814	(0.8061, 0.8215)
Cognitive social capital (0-26)	13.95	14.86	0.722	0.0177*	(0.0152, 0.0205)
Structural social capital (0-10)	3.00	3.00	0.000	1.000	(0.9996, 1.0000)
Proactivity (0-5)	3.24	3.44	1.000	0.0004*	(0.0001, 0.0010)
Leadership (0-16)	11.44	13.04	2.157	0.0070*	(0.0055, 0.0088)

## Adaptive capacity assessment

Comparison of each adaptive capacity indicator between the presurvey and postsurvey (n = 25). Monte Carlo paired sample t tests assessed the differences and underwent 10,000 permutations. The tstatistic, p value ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), and 95% confidence interval are reported for each adaptive capacity indicator. Upper-tail p values are reported.

**IM** TATE **BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

Indicator (score range)	Presurvey mean	Postsurvey mean	t	р	95% CI	Adaptive capacity
Water management	14.40	17.04	1.162	0.4650	(0.4552, 0.4748)	assessment
Information diversity (0-5)	3.40	4.60	4.157	0.0022*	(0.0014, 0.0033)	
Knowledge exchange (0-10)	5.92	5.52	-0.499	0.814	(0.8061, 0.8215)	The number of sources community members can
Cognitive social capital (0-26)	13.95	14.86	0.722	0.0177*	(0.0152, 0.0205)	reference to get information about local water resources
Structural social capital (0-10)	3.00	3.00	0.000	1.000	(0.9996, 1.0000)	
Proactivity (0-5)	3.24	3.44	1.000	0.0004*	(0.0001, 0.0010)	
Leadership (0-16)	11.44	13.04	2.157	0.0070*	(0.0055, 0.0088)	

**NM STATE BE BOLD.** Shape the Future. Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

Indicator (score range)	Presurvey mean	Postsurvey mean	t	р	95% CI	Adaptive capacity
Water management (0-48)	14.40	17.04	1.162	0.4650	(0.4552, 0.4748)	assessment
Information diversity (0-5)	3.40	4.60	4.157	0.0022*	(0.0014, 0.0033)	
Knowledge exchange (0-10)	5.92	5.52	-0.499	0.814	(0.8061, 0.8215)	
Cognitive social capital (0-26)	13.95	14.86	0.722	0.0177*	(0.0152, 0.0205)	An individual's trust and ease with othe community members
Structurar social capital (0-10)	3.00	3.00	0.000	1.000	(0.3330, 1.0000)	
Proactivity (0-5)	3.24	3.44	1.000	0.0004*	(0.0001, 0.0010)	The ability to interact with others abou issues before they worsen
Leadership (0-16)	11.44	13.04	2.157	0.0070*	(0.0055, 0.0088)	Local leadership can mobilize commun
						or occurrence of challenging events

**NM STATE BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

## **Recognition of the COVID-19 pandemic**

- 68% of survey respondents indicated that they felt the pandemic greatly impacted their irrigation season
  - Individuals could not attend or organize regular water allocation meetings due to social restrictions
  - Many individuals are members of vulnerable populations (elderly, preexisting conditions) and avoided others
- Potential confounding variable? Ultimately helpful for assessment.

**BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

- Better to measure adaptive capacity with exposure to adverse circumstances (Engle, 2011, 2013)
- Likely dampened the results of knowledge exchange & structural social capital

Introduction | Methods | Results & Discussion | Conclusions

#### **Other studies**

- Telemetry monitoring to meet community need has significant, positive benefits on adaptive capacity
- Findings consistent with other studies:
  - Providing irrigation districts and communities with real-time water data allows stakeholders to make effective water management decisions and changes during times of low flow (Ellison et al., 2019)
  - Real-time urban water use data improves water conservation efforts (Cominola et al., 2021)



#### **Final remarks**

- Real-time web-based monitoring of irrigation diversions helps traditional irrigation systems manage water during times of low flow by increasing elements of adaptive capacity
- A community science approach based on stakeholder engagement and co-production of actionable knowledge ensures monitoring system relevancy, encourages use, and facilitates success
- Survey methodology successfully quantified adaptive capacity and incorporated social factors into hydrologic research (and can be modified)



### Acknowledgements

- Funding:
  - State of New Mexico special and state appropriations
    - NMWRRI2019 2020 & NMWRRI2021
  - NMSU College of Agriculture, Consumer, and Environmental Sciences
- The community!



Photo courtesy of Sylvia Rodriguez



#### **Contact Information**

Lily Conrad

New Mexico State University

Water Science & Management Graduate Degree Program

New Mexico Water Resources Research Institute

https://nmwrri.nmsu.edu/

conradl@nmsu.edu





#### References

Agrawal, A. (2010). The role of local institutions in adaptation to climate change. In R. Mearns & A. Norton (Eds.), *Social dimensions of climate change: Equity and vulnerability in a warming world* (pp. 173–178). Washington, DC: The World Bank. Retrieved from <a href="https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/28274/691280WP0P11290utions0in0adaptation.pdf?sequence=1">https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/28274/691280WP0P11290utions0in0adaptation.pdf?sequence=1</a>

Armitage, D. 2005. "Adaptive Capacity and Community-Based Natural Resource Management." Environmental Management 35 (6): 703–15. doi:10.1007/s00267-004-0076-z.

Baival, B. and M. E. Fernández-Giménez. 2012. "Meaningful Learning for Resilience-Building among Mongolian Pastoralists." Nomadic Peoples 16 (2): 53–77. doi:10.3167/np.2012.160205.

Barnett, T. P., Adam, J. C., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2005). Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions. *Nature*, 438(7066), 303–309. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04141</u>

Buytaert, W., Zulkafli, Z., Grainger, S., Acosta, L., Alemie, T. C., Bastiaensen, J., et al. (2014). Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: Opportunities for knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *2*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2014.00026

Chavarria, S. B., & Gutzler, D. S. (2018). Observed changes in climate and streamflow in the Upper Rio Grande basin. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 54(3), 644–659. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12640</u>

Clow, D. W. (2010). Changes in the timing of snowmelt and streamflow in Colorado: A response to recent warming. *Journal of Climate*, 23(9), 2293–2306. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2951.1</u>

Cominola, A., Giuliani, M., Castelletti, A., Fraternali, P., Gonzalez, S. L. H., Herrero, J. C. G., et al. (2021). Long-term water conservation is fostered by smart meter-based feedback and digital user engagement. *npj Clean Water*, 4(29), 1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-021-00119-0</u>

Eakin, H., S. Eriksen, P. O. Eikeland, and C. Øyen. 2011. "Public Sector Reform and Governance for Adaptation: Implications of New Public Management for Adaptive Capacity in Mexico and Norway." Environmental Management 47: 338–51. doi:10.1007/s00267-010-9605-0.

Elias, E. H., A. Rango, C. M. Steele, J. F. Mejia, and R. Smith. 2015. "Assessing Climate Change Impacts on Water Availability of Snowmelt-Dominated Basins of the Upper Rio Grande Basin." *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies* 3: 525–46. doi:10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.04.004.

Ellison, J. C., Smethurst, P. J., Morrison, B. M., Keast, D., Almeida, A., Taylor, P., et al. (2019). Real-time river monitoring supports community management of low-flow periods. *Journal of Hydrology*, 572, 839–850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.03.035</u>



#### References (cont.)

Engle, N. L. (2011). Adaptive capacity and its assessment. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), 647–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.01.019

Engle, N. L. 2013. "The Role of Drought Preparedness in Building and Mobilizing Adaptive Capacity in States and Their Community Water Systems." Climatic Change 118: 291–306. doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0657-4.

Fernald, A., Guldan, S., Boykin, K., Cibils, A., Gonzales, M., Hurd, B., et al. (2015). Linked hydrologic and social systems that support resilience of traditional irrigation communities. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, *19*, 293–307. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-293-2015</u>

Fernández-Giménez, M. E., Batkhishig, B., Batbuyan, B., & Ulambayar, T. (2015). Lessons from the dzud: Community-based rangeland management increases the adaptive capacity of Mongolian herders to winter disasters. *World Development*, *68*(1), 48–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.11.015</u>

Guldan, S. J., Fernald, A. G., Ochoa, C. G., & Tidwell, V. C. (2013). Collaborative community hydrology research in northern New Mexico. *Journal of Contemporary Water Research & Education*, 152(1), 49–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-704x.2013.03167.x</u>

Harpold, A., Brooks, P., Rajagopal, S., Heidbuchel, I., Jardine, A., & Stielstra, C. (2012). Changes in snowpack accumulation and ablation in the intermountain west. *Water Resources Research*, 48(11). https://doi.org/10.1029/2012WR011949

Llewellyn, D., Vaddey, S., Roach, J. D., & Pinson, A. (2013). West-wide climate risk assessment: Upper Rio Grande impact assessment. US Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regions, Albuquerque Area Office. Retrieved from http://www.usbr.gov/WaterSMART/wcra/reports/urgia.html

Mote, P. W., Li, S., Lettenmaier, D. P., Xiao, M., & Engel, R. (2018). Dramatic declines in snowpack in the western US. *Climate and Atmospheric Science*, *1*(1), 1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-018-0012-1</u>

Paul, J. D., Buytaert, W., Allen, S., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., Bhusal, J., Cieslik, K., et al. (2018). Citizen science for hydrological risk reduction and resilience building. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 5(1), e1262. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1262

Seidl, R., & Barthel, R. (2017). Linking scientific disciplines: Hydrology and social sciences. *Journal of Hydrology*, 550, 441–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.00

Smit, B. and J. Wandel. 2006. "Adaptation, Adaptive Capacity and Vulnerability." Global Environmental Change 16 (3): 282–92. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.03.008.

