This presentation premiered at WaterSmart Innovations

watersmartinnovations.com







Soil Moisture Sensor Irrigation Controller Standardized Testing

WaterSmart Innovations Oct. 1-4, 2019

Michael D. Dukes, PhD., P.E., C.I.D.

Agricultural & Biological Engineering

University of Florida/IFAS

lce.ifas.ufl.ed

Outline

- Background
- Goal
- Methods
 - Materials
 - Process
- Testing
- Results



Background

- Nov 2011 EPA WaterSense WBIC spec
- Pre 2011 SMS working group
- Late 2012 ASABE X633, Testing Protocol for Landscape Irrigation Soil Moisture-Based Control Technologies formed
- Late 2013 Draft standard based on dry down and accuracy



Accuracy Testing



History

- Nov 2011 EPA WaterSense WBIC spec
- Pre 2011 SMS working group
- Late 2012 ASABE X633, Testing Protocol for Landscape Irrigation Soil Moisture-Based Control Technologies formed
- Late 2013 Draft standard based on dry down and accuracy
- Spring 2014 EBMUD coordination
- ~2014 Precision metric developed
- Early 2018 Design test "media"

FLORIDA

May 2018 - MWD ICP testing funding

Precision



Test Questions

- Does response across moisture level vary? e.g. flat line response?
- Do readings change over time? 0 vs. 24 hr or longer?

Man and Man Man Man Man Man Man

- What is an acceptable deviation in precision?
- Do SMS function after freeze test?

Analysis Background

Test 4 brands

- 3 moisture contents (depletion levels) relative to field capacity (FC)
- -2 media types, coarse & fine "soil"
- -2 water salinity levels
- -3x2x2 = 12 test scenarios per brand
- Compare relative measurements (precision) of 3 SMS samples per brand at each moisture level

Valuationality and value and value and value

Metrics

• Avg Deviation =
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\bar{x} - x_i|}{n}$$

- Averages distance from the mean for individual probes
- Measure of precision but differing SMS scales varies results
- Relative Avg Deviation = $\frac{Avg \text{ Deviation}}{\bar{x}}$

FLORIDA

Normalizes average to account for different scales inherent in each sensor brand

and and have have have have have

AD, Avg. Deviation & RAD, Relative Avg. Deviation



"Soil" Materials

- 60F fine silica sand
- 325 mesh silica flour
- Sodium bentonite 200 mesh powder



Media Mix

- Proportions (60F sand, 325 silica, clay)
- Coarse soil
 - -6:2:2 fraction by weight
 - Bulk density, Db = 1.4 g/cc
 - -FC = 20%
- Fine soil
 - -1:1:1 fraction by weight
 - Bulk density, Db = 1.3 g/cc

FC = 32%

Particle Size Analysis



Media Salinity Testing

- Soil salinity determined from extraction
- Salinity varied from UF and CIT due to different source material
- Generally salinity increased from 0 to 3 dS/m water



Media Salinity Testing (fine)

• Water added to field capacity (32% by vol.)

Water Salinity (dS/m)	Soil Salinity (dS/m)
Air dry	1.48
0	1.59
1	1.67
2	1.74
3	2.08



Media Salinity Testing (coarse)

• Water added to field capacity (20% by vol.)

Water Salinity (dS/m)	Soil Salinity (dS/m)
Air dry	1.07
0	1.32
1	1.61
2	1.26
3	1.42



Procedure

- Determine container vol
- Determine SMS vol
- Dry media mass, M = V x Db
- Mix dry components & add water
- Pack containers with SMS & seal
- Weigh container
- Take enable/disable readings at 0 & 24 hr

Narthand and Mandand Mandandandan

Mixing Components



UF FLORIDA

IFAS

Center for LANDSCAPE Conservation & Ecology apphilap

Packing Containers







Sensor Functionality



Test Matrix

	Brand	Soil	Salinity		
	A			1	
	В	C	0.10/		
	С	Coarse	U as/m		
	D	1			
	A				
	В		0.40/		200/ 400/ 600/
	С	Fine	U as/m		20%, 40%, 60%
	D	1			Depletion level for
	Α				each combination
	В	Coores	2 dc/m		
	С	Coarse	3 as/m		
	D	1			
	Α				
	В		2.16/		
	С	Fine	3 as/m		
	D				
and and	MMA	MAN	Valley		andranan

UF FLORIDA

IFAS

Center for

Freeze Test

- Fine media, 40% depletion, 0 dS/m
- Pre-test enable/disable
- Freeze container -18 C for 72 hrs
- Thaw to ambient temp for 24 hrs
- Post-test enable/disable



Table of Observed Regression Slopes –

Sensor Reading

		Salinity	Sensor Relative Reading					
Brand	Soil		Average Re	gression R^2	Regression Slope			
			0 hr	24 hr	0 hr	24 hr		
Α			1.000	0.995	-0.095	-0.094		
В	Caaraa	0 45 /	0.966	0.973	-0.504	-0.480		
С	Coarse	0 us/m	N/A	0.605	N/A	0.417		
D			0.842	0.851	-0.397	-0.403		
Α			0.988	0.963	-0.140	-0.132		
В	Fine	0 45 /m	0.960	0.956	-0.552	-0.538		
С	Fine	0 us/m	N/A	0.972	N/A	1.417		
D			0.971	0.992	-1.087	-1.214		
Α			0.962	0.951	-0.136	-0.136		
В	Coorco	3 dS/m	0.998	0.999	-0.688	-0.661		
С	Coarse		N/A	0.980	N/A	-0.667		
D			N/D	0.886	N/D	-0.436		
Α			0.950	0.953	-0.259	-0.263		
В	Fine	2 d5/m	0.882	0.896	-0.526	-0.481		
С	Fille	5 us/m	N/A	0.923	N/A	1.667		
D			0.740	0.950	0.397	-0.624		
Notes								

(a) Wilder Marian

N/A, not applicable due to 24 hr equilibration period

N/D, not determined since sensors did not register

UF FLORIDA

IFAS

Center for

Sensor Reading R²



■0 hr ■ 24 hr

NEWANDANANANANAN



Sensor Reading Absolute Slope



Table of Observed Regression Slopes –

Irrigation Enabled

			Irrigation Enabled					
Brand	Soil	Salinity	Average Re	gression R^2	Regression Slope			
			0 hr	24 hr	0 hr	24 hr		
Α		0 dS/m	1.000	0.993	-0.092	-0.088		
В	Contro		0.961	0.967	-0.193	-0.183		
с	Coarse		N/A	0.605	N/A	0.042		
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D		
Α			0.985	0.960	-0.138	-0.129		
В	Fino	0 ds/m	0.993	0.949	-0.211	-0.206		
С	Fille	0 asym	N/A	0.972	N/A	0.142		
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D		
A		3 dS/m	0.968	0.955	-0.133	-0.133		
В	Contro		0.999	0.999	-0.260	-0.252		
С	Coarse		N/A	0.980	N/A	-0.067		
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D		
A			0.955	0.955	-0.254	-0.254		
В	Fina	3 dS/m	0.873	0.886	-0.202	-0.185		
С	Fille		N/A	0.923	N/A	0.167		
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D		
Notes								
N/A. not a	pplicable due t	to 24 hr equilib	ration period					

N/D, not determined since sensors did not register

UF FLORIDA

Irrigation Enabled R²



■0 hr ■ 24 hr

I a MANA MANA MANA MANANA



Irrigation Enabled Absolute Slope



Table of Observed Regression Slopes –

Irrigation Disabled

			Irrigation Disabled				
Brand	Soil	Salinity	Average Re	gression R^2	Regression Slope		
			0 hr	24 hr	0 hr	24 hr	
Α			0.999	0.997	-0.096	-0.092	
В	Caasaa	0.15/	0.968	0.967	-0.198	-0.183	
с	Coarse	U usym	N/A	0.605	N/A	0.042	
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
A		0 dS/m	0.992	0.949	-0.138	-0.125	
В	Fina		0.993	0.949	-0.211	-0.206	
с	Fine		N/A	0.972	N/A	0.142	
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
A		3 dS/m	0.960	0.968	-0.129	-0.133	
В	Coorco		0.999	0.999	-0.262	-0.252	
с	Coarse		N/A	0.980	N/A	-0.067	
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
A			0.957	0.950	-0.250	-0.263	
В	Fine	3 dS/m	0.873	0.886	-0.202	-0.185	
с	Fine		N/A	0.828	N/A	0.158	
D			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
Notes							
N/A. not a	pplicable due t	to 24 hr equilibi	ration period				

N/D, not determined since sensors did not register

UF FLORIDA

Irrigation Disabled R²



■0 hr ■ 24 hr

Lannann Mannann Manan



Irrigation Disabled Absolute Slope



Table of Average RAD

			Average RAD across depletion levels						
Brand	Soil	Salinity	Trigger ON		Sensor Reading		Trigger OFF		
			0 hr	24 hr	0 hr	24 hr	0 hr	24 hr	
4			1.6%	2.2%	1.7%	2.7%	1.7%	2.8%	
3	Contro	0 45/~~	2.2%	1.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.2%	1.9%	
	Coarse	0 us/m	N/A	6.5%	N/A	7.9%	N/A	10.1%	
)			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
١		0 dS/m	2.4%	1.6%	1.9%	1.8%	2.0%	1.5%	
3	Fine		1.0%	1.3%	1.0%	1.3%	1.0%	1.3%	
:	rille		N/A	5.6%	N/A	6.9%	N/A	9.1%	
)			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
١		3 dS/m	4.8%	3.5%	4.3%	3.4%	5.2%	3.0%	
3	Contro		1.4%	1.6%	1.2%	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%	
2	Coarse		N/A	3.4%	N/A	4.4%	N/A	6.3%	
)			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
١		3 dS/m	3.0%	3.6%	3.0%	3.2%	3.3%	2.9%	
3	Fine		0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%	0.7%	
2	Fine		N/A	5.7%	N/A	7.5%	N/A	10.9%	
)			N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	
Notes									
N/A, not a	pplicable due t	to 24 hr equilibr	ation period						
N/D, not d	letermined sind	ce sensors did n	ot register						

UF FLORIDA CENTER FOR LANDSCAPE

Average RAD Across Depletion Levels, Sensor Reading



UF FLORIDA

Average RAD Across Depletion Levels, Irrigation Enabled



UF FLORIDA

Average RAD Across Depletion Levels, Irrigation Disabled



UF FLORIDA

Avg RAD 0 & 24 hr Irrigation Enabled & Disabled





<u>Acknowledgements</u>: Innovation Conservation Program, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

EPA WaterSense Program

IFAS

UF FLORIDA Center for LANDSCAPE