# This presentation premiered at WaterSmart Innovations

watersmartinnovations.com



Understanding the Implications of Reduced Flows in Building Drains ~Phase 2~











# **Why Drainline Transport?**

- > Toilet consumption reduced 3.5 gpf  $\rightarrow$  1.6 gpf  $\rightarrow$  1.28 gpf  $\rightarrow$  ?
- Commercial installations
  - Isolated bathrooms
  - Long horizontal run building drains
  - Non-water consuming urinals, ultra low flow faucets (0.5 gpm)
  - Proliferation of other water efficient technologies; medical, food service, industrial and commercial processes
  - Foilets increasingly stressed
- Domestic installations
  - Reduced flow showerheads and appliances
  - Graywater reuse systems long term potential to eliminate long duration flows

# **The PERC Approach**

#### PERC Design of Experiment

- The "Real World": Too Variable to Duplicate / Characterize
- Need to Understand What's Really Important
- Build a Perfect Drainline
- The Test Apparatus
  - > 4" Clear PVC
  - > 135 feet long (~41 M)
  - Slope Adjustable
- > Why only 4-inch diameter? \$
- Clearing Flush: Low Cost Solution?
  - Past research (Swaffield) cited potential
  - Low cost solution using flushometervalves?



### **The PERC Approach**

Test Apparatus viewed from Flush Stand

#### Two 90 - Wide Sweep Bends at Far End





### **Test Media**

➤Uncased "MaP" Test Media

 Proven "Realistic" in Toilet Testing
Deformable, "breaks down"



#### ➤Toilet Paper

Two US Brands
Low Tensile Strength
High Tensile Strength



### **The PERC Test Plan**

#### **Test variables**

- 1 Diameter: 4-inch / ~100 mm
- ✓ 2 Pitches: 1.00%; 2.00%
- ✓ 3 Flush Volumes: 6.0/1.6; 4.8/1.3; 3.0/0.8 (Lpf / gpf)
- ✓ 2 Flush Rates: 3500; 2500 (ml/sec –peak flow)
- 2 Percent Trailing Water Levels: 75%; 25%
- Z Toilet Paper Tensile Strengths: High; Low

### **Data Review**



Factor	Туре	Levels	Values
Volume	fixed	2	4.8, 6.0
Flush Rate	fixed	2	2500, 3500
<b>Trailing Water</b>	fixed	2	0.25, 0.75
Slope	fixed	2	0.01, 0.02
Paper	fixed	2	1, 82
Variable			P Value
Volume			0.000*
Flush Ra	te		0.216

Trailing Water	0.185
Slope	0.000*
Paper	0.000*

\* P-values below 0.05 indicate significance of the test variable

R-Sq = 81.61 percent

### **PERC Phase 2 Focus Areas**

- Pipe Size Reduction Long a topic of debate at code hearings, the potential for reduced pipe size to improve drainline transport distances will be studied
  - A 3-inch test apparatus will be used in addition to the 4-inch diameter apparatus employed in Phase 1 to determine impact of reducing the pipe size
- Additional Flush Volume Level Phase 1 results indicated a behavioral shift and a chaotic drainline performance condition resulted at the 3.0 Lpf / 0.8 gpf consumption level.
  - Phase 2 will investigate drainline transport performance at the 3.8 Lpf (1.0 gpf) volume level.
  - Many U.S. manufacturers are already producing toilets that flush at this consumption level for both commercial and residential applications.

### **The PERC Test Plan**

**Test variables** 

- 1 Diameter: 4-inch / ~100 mm; 3-inch / ~75 mm
- ✓ 2 Pitches: 1.00%; 2.00%
- ✓ 3 Flush Volumes: 6.0/1.6; 4.8/1.3; <u>3.8/1.0;</u> 3.0/0.8 (Lpf / gpf)
- 2 Flush Rates: 3500; 2500 (ml/sec –peak flow)
- 2 Percent Trailing Water Levels: 75%; 25%
- Z Toilet Paper Tensile Strengths: High; Low

### **PERC Phase 2 - Deliverables**

#### Deliverable 1 – Pipe Size Reduction

- Phase 2 of the PERC study will show how a commonly suggested pipe size reduction (going from 4-inch diameter pipe to 3-inch pipe) will impact drainline transport in a long horizontal run.
- Further, it will rank the significance of reducing pipe diameter to flush consumption level reductions, slope, toilet paper wet tensile strength, and toilet discharge characteristics of flush rate and percent trailing water.
- The results from Phase 2 will provide needed data in understanding the implications of pipe size reductions and may advise future considerations of pipe sizing requirements.

### **PERC Phase 2 - Deliverables**

#### • Deliverable 2 – Added 1.0 gpf discharge level

- Evaluating a new flush discharge level at 3.8 Lpf (1.0 gpf) will provide for a better understanding of how the drainline performs at the critical consumption level between 4.8 Lpf (1.28 gpf) and 3.0 Lpf (0.8 gpf), where drainline performance in Phase 1 became chaotic.
- This will provide additional insight into the "tipping point" flush volume level, below which chronic blockage problems are more likely to occur.

### **PERC Phase 2 - Deliverables**

- Considering the two deliverables together, Phase 2 will evaluate how pipe size reduction in a building drain might allow for the successful use of lower consumption toilets in new installations that employ smaller diameter drains.
- Phase 2 will also provide data to help illustrate if we are indeed reaching a tipping point where further toilet consumption level reductions are risky in installations that do not provide for significant additional flows into the building drain.

### PERC Phase 2 – Budget / Status

- Phase 2 of this research study will cost approximately \$170,000.00
- A significant increase over the approximately \$70,000.00 used to complete Phase 1.
- ~90% of the cost is related to labor.
- Phase 2 is a 35 + week testing program currently 5 weeks into the program - work is underway at the American Standard Design Center in Piscataway, NJ
- Look for a full report on the Phase 2 Study here at WSI in 2015

We thank all of the Utilities, Manufacturers and Associations that have chipped in to support this critical research!

# THANK YOU QUESTIONS?



#### Part II

## World Plumbing Council Research Committee and Database Project

Presented by: Peter DeMarco, IAPMO pete.demarco@iapmo.org



### **Database Functionality**

- http://www.worldplumbing.org/research/tiki-index.php
- Use free and open source database application Tiki Wiki <u>http://info.tiki.org/Tiki+Wiki+CMS+Groupware</u>
- Membership anyone will be allowed to register and input information into the database
- The Research Committee co-chairs will have the ability to review and delete any content that is inappropriate
- Signing Up / Registering:
  - Email address
  - Password
- Once registered, instant access to the database will be granted

### **Database Functionality**

- Input fields for database entries:
  - Submitters name
  - Title(s)
  - Affiliation
  - Email address
  - Name / affiliation of other researchers involved (optional)
  - Title of research program (25 words max)
  - Scope (500 words max)
  - Key words (maximum of 8)
  - Status of research program (50 words max)
  - What is the goal of the research? (250 words max)
  - Link to completed published report / paper (optional)
  - How will the completed research benefit the public, the plumbing profession, or be implemented into the built environment? (250 words max)

### **Database Functionality**

#### • Pull down menu boxes:

- Plumbing aspects (water supply, waste/drainage, water efficiency, water quality, sanitation, safe water access, alternate water sources (rainwater, storm water, gray water), irrigation landscape (allow to select all that apply)
- Has the output (research paper or report) been Peer reviewed? (yes/no/pending)
- Can other researchers contact you via email to discuss this research? (yes / no)
- We will be able to track usage
- Again, we seek your participation
- As a Wiki application, the success of the database and the usefulness to researchers and the plumbing industry depends on YOU!

#### THANK YOU!



#### THE ANSI STANDARDIZATION ROADMAP Energy Efficiency in the Built Environment

Presented by:

Pete DeMarco, IAPMO

Lead facilitator for the ANSI Energy Efficiency Standardization Coordination Collaborative (EESCC)

Water Smart Innovations Conference Las Vegas, 2014



#### ANSI Energy Efficiency Standardization Coordination Collaborative (EESCC)

- Standardization Roadmap: Energy Efficiency in the Built Environment
  - Released June 2014 | FREE DOWNLOAD: www.ansi.org/eescc
- Developed by the Energy Efficiency Standardization Coordination Collaborative (EESCC), a cross-sector group of 160 experts
  - convened by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)
  - chaired by representatives of the U.S. Department of Energy and Schneider Electric
  - involving more than 50 member organizations and 4 federal agencies from industry, standards and code developing organizations, energy efficiencyfocused organizations, educational institutions, and other groups
- Establishes a national framework for action and coordination on energy efficiency standardization, and charts recommendations and timelines for action to advance energy efficiency within the built environment



#### **EESCC Standardization Roadmap Overview**

- The EESCC roadmap details 125 recommendations and timelines for action in five distinct yet interrelated areas of focus:
  - Chapter One: Building energy and water assessment and performance standards outlines 46 recommendations to address identified standardization gaps in these areas
  - Chapter Two: System integration and systems communications details 9 recommendations examining how building sub-systems could be integrated in order to manage the energy use of a building or campus of buildings for maximum efficiency
  - Chapter Three: Building energy rating, labeling, and simulation outlines 22 recommendations to address identified standardization gaps
  - Chapter Four: Evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) details 32 recommendations to advance the field of EM&V
  - Chapter Five: Workforce credentialing puts forth 16 overarching recommendations to advance workforce credentialing for the energy efficiency field



#### Next Steps: Tracking Progress and Implementation of Roadmap Recommendations

- Looking forward, the EESCC will monitor implementation of the roadmap's recommendations, follow updates on work to close identified gaps, and create a mechanism by which this information can be broadly shared
- A future report will highlight progress to close gaps and provide an update on new developments and updates:
  - The EESCC will work with relevant groups, as appropriate, to ensure gaps are addressed, and facilitate coordination and collaboration among domestic, regional, and international standardization activities, as needed



#### **Call to Action: Closing the Gaps**

- Organizations interested in carrying out standardization activities to close a gap identified in the roadmap – either working collaboratively or on their own – are asked to **notify the EESCC** so that the collaborative can monitor the roadmap's implementation and assist with coordination of standardization activities, as appropriate
- Complete the online EESCC Standardization Action Form at www.ansi.org/eescc with details on:
  - Gap to be addressed
  - Brief description of work to fill gap
  - Anticipated timeline



### **Thank You!**







