This presentation premiered at WaterSmart Innovations

watersmartinnovations.com



Transforming Water: Water Efficiency as Stimulus and Long Term Investment



Thomas Chesnutt, <u>tom@antechserv.com</u> Mary Ann Dickenson, <u>maryann@a4we.org</u> David Mitchell, <u>mitchell@mcubed-econ.com</u>



- Policy Context Analysis of Stimulus Options
- Short- and Long-term Economic Impacts of Water Efficiency
 - Stimulus prospects
 - Short-term viability
 - Long-term viability
- Conclusion

Transforming Water - Context

- The Alliance for Water Efficiency advised Obama's transition team about the employment potential and economic benefits of broad investments in water efficiency.
- Water Efficiency Programs = Water infrastructure reconfiguration-related investments.
 - Ex: Investment in improved outdoor water use efficiency (i.e.: smart irrigation controllers)

Question

- What do investments in water efficiency look like as a stimulus?
 - Jobs created,
 - water savings and
 - other economic benefits
- Can Water Efficiency be a cost-effective investment to consider for the stimulus package?

Short-term Impacts of Water Efficiency Investments on:

- Job Creation
- Income
- ≻ GDP
- > National Output
- > Water Savings
- And other benefits

Qualitative Benefits of Water Efficiency Programs

- Public involvement in the program
- Empower water customers to control their water bills
- Forestall the need for energy-intensive new water supply development
- Reduced energy results in reduced greenhouse gases and an increase in national energy independence
- > And more.

Methodology

- Model: Input-Output (I-O) model of U.S. economy
- Use: Evaluate near-term economic benefits of large-scale investments in water and energy efficiency programs
- Measured: Effects on job creation, labor income, contribution to gross domestic product (GDP), and national output.

Sample Water/Energy Efficiency Program Investments that were Evaluated

- > Rebate and direct install programs
- > Outdoor water use programs
- Commercial/Industrial cooling tower water/energy retrofits
- > Industrial process water improvements
- Municipal water utility leak detection and system water loss reduction programs.

Program Expenditure Categories

- 1. Expenditures for repair, maintenance, and new construction
- 2. Expenditures for new physical assets
- 3. Expenditures for surveys, installation, and other services
- 4. Expenditures for program administration

Modeling Methods

- Expenditures are mapped to the appropriate economic sectors in the IMPLAN I-O model
- Unique mappings were performed for each water/energy efficiency programs
- Total impacts estimated with the model consist of direct and indirect impacts of program expenditures

Modeling Economic Impact

Regional Economic Impact - Water Efficiency Program Example



Alliance for Water Efficiency

Results

- Direct investment in efficiency programs has the potential to have significant and positive stimulus impacts
- Direct investment of \$10 billion in efficiency programs can boost:
 - U.S. GDP by \$13-15 billion and
 - U.S. Employment by 150,000 to 220,000 jobs.

Economic Stimulus Benefits, Per Million Dollars of Investment

	Total Impact Per Million Dollars of Direct Investment* (million \$, except employment)			
			Labor	
Program Option	Output	GDP	Income	Employment
Water System Loss Control	\$2.82	\$1.44	\$1.05	21.6
ET Irrigation Controller Rebate/Direct Install Programs	\$2.55	\$1.31	\$0.85	20.4
HE Toilet Rebate Program	\$2.54	\$1.47	\$0.96	18.0
HE Toilet Direct Install Program	\$2.46	\$1.38	\$0.87	17.2
Industrial Water/Energy Survey & Retrofit Program	\$2.78	\$1.31	\$0.89	15.6
Retrofit Cooling Towers with Conductivity and Ph Controllers	\$2.47	\$1.29	\$0.78	15.4
Restaurant Surveys & Direct Install Equipment Retrofits	\$2.79	\$1.26	\$0.82	14.6

*Impacts calculated using IMPLAN Pro Version 2.0.1021 and 2007 national economy data file.

Distribution of Benefits of Direct Investment in Efficiency Programs

Economic Sector (2-digit NAICS)	GDP (Million \$)	Employment (Jobs)
Ag, Forestry, Fish & Hunting	\$89	1,706
Mining	\$181	591
Utilities	\$232	438
Construction	\$1,112	16,917
Manufacturing	\$2,313	24,315
Wholesale Trade	\$1,016	8,353
Retail Trade	\$1,398	24,768
Transportation & Warehousing	\$357	5,235
Information	\$431	2,459
Finance & Insurance	\$753	5,594
Real Estate & Rental	\$1,054	5,500
Professional- Scientific & Tech Svcs	\$818	9,123
Management of Companies	\$305	2,242
Administrative & Waste Services	\$682	18,191
Educational Svcs	\$57	1,651
Health & Social Services	\$437	8,328
Arts- Entertainment & Recreation	\$78	2,059
Accommodation & Food Services	\$220	7,077
Other Services	\$1,113	17,548
Government & Non NAICs	\$857	13,409
Total	\$13,501	175,504

Water Savings

- Cost estimates of water efficiency programs yield water savings at unit costs ranging between \$170 - \$1,600 per Million Gallons.
- Average water savings
 ~ \$575 / Million Gallons.

Water Savings Scenario

> \$10 billion water efficiency investment:

- For range of water efficiency programs costing on average \$1,000/Million gallons:
 - 10 trillion gallons of water total
 - 2.7 billion gallons per day
- This volume could service 5 percent of US population for 10 years

Rapid Deployment Potential

- > Water efficiency programs can be:
 - Rapidly deployed
 - Scaled to need
- Water efficiency investments can provide immediate, short-term stimulus impacts – a key benefit given the current economic crisis.

Aid for Distressed Communities

- > Opportunities for conservation investment in lower-income areas
 - Ex: Los Angeles pioneered the use of community-based-organization (CBO) deployment models for ultra-low flush toilet installation in early 1990s.

"No Regret" Investments in the Nation's Future

- Long-term strategic, economic, social, and environmental benefits of efficiency programs make them "no-regret" investments in the nation's future.
- Benefits include: advancing national energy policy, promoting sustainable resource use, contribution towards GHG emissions, reduction, and resolving regional conflicts over water resources.

Diffusing Regional Water Conflicts

- Pressures on overstretched water resources are spawning regional conflicts over water resources.
- Demand for freshwater is outpacing available supplies.
- Water use efficiency investments will be key component of policies intended to resolve these conflicts.

Contribution to National Energy Policy

- Saving energy is one of the most compelling reasons to save water.
- Good for the economy (as shown) and good for the environment.
- Water and energy efficiency also reduce negative externalities.
- > Further savings in avoided energy costs.

Some Energy Consumption Facts

California Energy Commission:

- 19% of state's electric energy load is related to drinking- and wastewater processes.
- 32% of state's natural gas load is related to heating of customer end use hot water.
- 95% of CA energy efficiency goals could be met with water efficiency programs at 58% of the cost.

Energy Consumption Facts

- California State Water Project is largest single user of energy in California.
- A lower bound of 4% of national electricity use goes towards moving and treating drinkingand wastewater
- ~80% of municipal water processing and distribution costs are for electricity

Conclusion

Water processes are energy and costintensive.

> Water efficiency programs have the potential:

- to reduce water and energy related costs,
- while providing economic stimulus benefits:
 - Job creation,
 - GDP growth, and
 - Total net economic output.

For More Information:

California Energy Commission - Integrated Policy Report:

- <u>www.energy.ca.gov/2005publications/CEC-100-2005-007/CEC-10</u>
 <u>0-2005-007-CMF.PDF</u>
- Center for Sustainable Systems U.S. Water Supply and Distribution Factsheet:
 - <u>http://css.snre.umich.edu/css_doc/CSS05-17.pdf</u>
- U.S. Department of Energy Energy Demands on Water Resources Report to Congress on the Interdependency of Energy and Water:
 - <u>http://www.sandia.gov/energy-water/docs/121-RptToCongress</u>
 <u>-EWwEIAcomments-FINAL.pdf</u>